

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

v.

ROBERT RICHARD SPURLING, III, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 18-0458 PRPC
FILED 10-30-2018

Appeal from the Superior Court in Coconino County
CR2008-0672
The Honorable Cathleen Brown Nichols, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED AND RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Coconino County Attorney's Office, Flagstaff
By Nicholas B. Buzan
Counsel for Respondent

Robert Richard Spurling, III, Florence
Petitioner

STATE v. SPURLING
Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Jennifer M. Perkins, Judge Lawrence F. Winthrop, and Judge Jon W. Thompson delivered the decision of the Court.

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner Robert Richard Spurling, III seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's first petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577 ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538 ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We hold that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 For the foregoing reasons, we grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court
FILED: AA