

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

LOUIS HUGH PLUNKETT, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 18-0523 PRPC  
FILED 10-30-2018

---

Appeal from the Superior Court in Mohave County  
No. S8015CR201401248  
The Honorable Rick A. Williams, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED, RELIEF DENIED**

---

COUNSEL

Mohave County Attorney's Office, Kingman  
By Matthew J. Smith  
*Counsel for Respondent*

Law Office of Daniel DeRienzo, P.L.L.C., Prescott Valley  
By Daniel J. DeRienzo, Florence  
*Counsel for Petitioner*

STATE v. PLUNKETT  
Decision of the Court

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge James P. Beene delivered the decision of the Court, in which Judge Michael J. Brown and Judge James B. Morse Jr. delivered the following decision.

---

**PER CURIAM:**

¶1 Petitioner Louis Hugh Plunkett seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is Petitioner's first petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19, 278 P.3d 1276, 1280 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1, 260 P.3d 1102, 1103 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA