

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

IN RE EDDIE S.

No. 1 CA-JV 18-0172  
FILED 8-14-2018

---

Appeal from the Superior Court in Yuma County  
No. S1400JV20170463  
The Honorable Kathryn E. Stocking-Tate, Judge *Pro Tempore*

**AFFIRMED**

---

COUNSEL

Yuma County Legal Defender's Office, Yuma  
By Terri L. Capozzi  
*Counsel for Appellant*

Yuma County Attorney's Office, Yuma  
By Griselda Cordova  
*Counsel for Appellee*

IN RE EDDIE S.  
Decision of the Court

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Randall M. Howe delivered the decision of the Court, in which Judge Jennifer M. Perkins and Judge Peter B. Swann joined.

---

**H O W E**, Judge:

¶1 Eddie S. appeals the juvenile court's order terminating him from standard probation and placing him on juvenile intensive probation for nine months. This appeal is filed in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Leon*, 104 Ariz. 297 (1969). See *In re Maricopa Cty. Juv. Action No. JV-117258*, 163 Ariz. 484, 486 (App. 1989) (applying *Anders* review to appeals in delinquency proceedings). Counsel for Eddie has advised this Court that counsel found no arguable questions of law and asks us to search the record for fundamental error.

¶2 We view the evidence in the light most favorable to sustaining the juvenile court's order. *In re James P.*, 214 Ariz. 420, 422 ¶ 2 (App. 2007). In October 2017, the juvenile court adjudicated Eddie delinquent for possession of drug paraphernalia and placed him on standard probation. Six months later, Eddie's probation officer petitioned to revoke probation. The probation officer alleged that between March 26 and April 10, Eddie violated his probation terms by missing 11 classes, having 4 "tardies," and failing to call in to the random drug testing system.

¶3 At a hearing on the petition, Eddie admitted to all allegations in the petition. The court asked Eddie's mother if she approved of Eddie admitting the allegations, and she responded that she did. When the judge asked Eddie why he had missed classes and was tardy, he responded, "I don't know. Well, the other days, I just woke up late and I was late." The judge then asked why he failed to call in to the drug testing system and Eddie similarly responded, "I don't know. I just forgot[.]" Because the probation officer recommended intensive probation, the court set a disposition hearing for three weeks later.

¶4 At the disposition hearing, the probation officer stated that Eddie had continued to miss classes after the previous court hearing. Eddie admitted to missing more classes but stated that he had stopped going only after the school informed him that he would not be receiving any credit because of his absences. The court terminated Eddie from standard

IN RE EDDIE S.  
Decision of the Court

probation and ordered that he be placed on juvenile intensive probation for nine months. The court also ordered that Eddie attend his high school, attend counseling, and continue to call in to the random drug testing system. The court further ordered that Eddie participate in a 32-hour community restitution program and assessed a \$60 monthly supervision fee and \$15 treatment fee per referral. Eddie timely appealed.

¶5 We have read and considered counsel's brief and fully reviewed the record for reversible error, *see Leon*, 104 Ariz. at 300, and find none. Eddie admitted to the allegations in the petition to revoke probation. The juvenile court properly exercised its discretion to terminate Eddie's standard probation and place him on juvenile intensive probation. Accordingly, we affirm the court's order.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA