

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION ONE

IN RE ISAAC D.

No. 1 CA-JV 18-0247
FILED 12-13-2018

Appeal from the Superior Court in Coconino County

Nos. JV2017-00269

JV2018-00022

JV2018-00076

JV2018-00083

The Honorable Ted S. Reed, Judge *Pro Tempore*

AFFIRMED

COUNSEL

Coconino County Public Defender's Office, Flagstaff

By Sandra L. J. Diehl

Counsel for Appellant

Coconino County Attorney's Office, Flagstaff

By Angela R. Kircher

Counsel for Appellee

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Maria Elena Cruz delivered the decision of the Court, in which Judge Jennifer B. Campbell and Judge James P. Beene joined.

IN RE ISAAC D.
Decision of the Court

C R U Z, Judge:

¶1 Isaac D. (the “Juvenile”) timely appeals from his commitment to the Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections (“ADJC”). This appeal is filed in accordance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), *State v. Leon*, 104 Ariz. 297 (1969), and *Maricopa Cty. Juv. Action No. JV-117258*, 163 Ariz. 484 (App. 1989). Counsel for the Juvenile has advised this Court that counsel found no arguable questions of law and asks us to search the record for fundamental error. The Juvenile was given an opportunity to file a supplemental brief *in propria persona*; he has not done so. After reviewing the record, we find no fundamental error and affirm the juvenile court’s disposition.

FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

¶2 The Juvenile admitted to a charge of disorderly conduct (class 1 misdemeanor) from a petition dated December 28, 2017. The Juvenile admitted to a second count of disorderly conduct from a petition filed February 14, 2018. The Juvenile admitted to aggravated assault against a correctional employee (class 5 felony) from a petition dated April 30, 2018. Finally, the Juvenile admitted to criminal damage (class 1 misdemeanor) from a petition dated May 2, 2018.

¶3 The juvenile court scheduled a disposition hearing for each count listed above on June 18, 2018. At disposition, the juvenile court committed the Juvenile to ADJC until his eighteenth birthday, with a minimum of six months, and ordered the Juvenile to participate in any services arranged for him through ADJC providers.

DISCUSSION

¶4 We have reviewed the entire record for fundamental, reversible error and find none. See *Maricopa Cty. Juv. Action No. JV-117258*, 163 Ariz. at 488. The court found that the Juvenile knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered admissions to two counts of disorderly conduct, and one count each of aggravated assault on a correctional employee and misdemeanor criminal damage. The punishment imposed is lawful. See Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 8-341(A)(1)(e). The Juvenile was present and represented by counsel at all critical stages.

CONCLUSION

¶5 We affirm the juvenile court’s disposition. After the filing of this decision, defense counsel’s obligations pertaining to the Juvenile’s

IN RE ISAAC D.
Decision of the Court

representation in this appeal have ended. Defense counsel need do no more than inform the Juvenile of the outcome of this appeal and his future options, unless, upon review, counsel finds an issue appropriate for submission to the Arizona Supreme Court by petition for review. *See* Ariz. R.P. Juv. Ct. 107(A); *State v. Shattuck*, 140 Ariz. 582, 584-85 (1984).



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court
FILED: AA