

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

DAVID LEE QUINN, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 18-0713 PRPC  
FILED 1-24-2019

---

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Yavapai County  
No. P1300CR201000175; P1300CR201500743  
The Honorable Patricia A. Trebesch, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

COUNSEL

Yavapai County Attorney's Office, Prescott  
By Joshua I. Fisher  
*Counsel for Respondent*

David Lee Quinn, San Luis  
*Petitioner*

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge James B. Morse Jr., Judge Jon W. Thompson, and Vice Chief  
Judge Peter B. Swann delivered the decision of the Court.

---

STATE v. QUINN  
Decision of the Court

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner David Lee Quinn seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's second petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA