IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

ADAM ARTHUR BORJA, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 18-0749 PRPC FILED 8-22-2019

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR 2006-145356-001 The Honorable Warren J. Granville, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

APPEARANCES

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Andrea L. Kever Counsel for Respondent

Adam Arthur Borja, Buckeye *Petitioner*

STATE v. BORJA Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Jennifer B. Campbell, Judge Maria Elena Cruz, and Judge Diane M. Johnsen delivered the decision of the Court.

PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Adam Arthur Borja seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's third petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- $\P 3$ We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 For the foregoing reasons, we grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA