ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

DREW MICHAEL WITZIG, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 19-0068 PRPC FILED 11-21-2019 AMENDED PER ORDER FILED 12-6-2019

Appeal from the Superior Court in Mohave County No. S8015CR201600090 The Honorable Billy K. Sipe, Judge *Pro Tempore*

REVIEW GRANTED AND RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Mohave County Attorney's Office, Kingman By Matthew J. Smith Counsel for Respondent

Drew Michael Witzig, Eloy Petitioner

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Randall M. Howe, Judge David D. Weinzweig, and Judge David B. Gass delivered the decision of the Court.

STATE v. WITZIG Decision of the Court

PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Drew Michael Witzig seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's first petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577 ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538 ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- ¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 For the foregoing reasons, we grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: JT