# ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

ANDRE ANTONIO ADAMS, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 19-0121 PRPC FILED 9-17-2019

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2015-103936-001 DT The Honorable Pamela S. Gates, Judge

### **REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

**COUNSEL** 

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Daniel Strange Counsel for Respondent

Andre Antonio Adams, Florence *Petitioner* 

#### **MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Jennifer B. Campbell, Judge Lawrence F. Winthrop, and Judge Michael J. Brown delivered the decision of the Court.

## STATE v. ADAMS Decision of the Court

#### PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Andre Antonio Adams seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's second successive petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- ¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 We grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA