ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

MARK STEVEN FORISTER, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 19-0220 PRPC FILED 11-19-2019

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2013-459534-001 The Honorable Brian D. Kaiser, Judge *Pro Tempore*

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Andrea L. Kever Counsel for Respondent

DeBrigida Law Offices, PLLC, Glendale By Ronald M. DeBrigida, Jr. Counsel for Petitioner

STATE v. FORISTER Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Kenton D. Jones, Judge James B. Morse Jr. and Judge Diane M. Johnsen delivered the decision of the Court.

PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Mark Steven Forister seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's first petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- ¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. Petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 For the foregoing reasons, we grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA