

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

ANANT KUMAR TRIPATI, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 19-0229 PRPC  
FILED 12-12-2019

---

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County  
Nos. CR 1992-008576  
CR 1992-009620

The Honorable Michael J. Herrod, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

APPEARANCES

Anant Kumar Tripathi, Florence  
*Petitioner*

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix  
By Robert E. Prather  
*Counsel Respondent*

STATE v. TRIPATI  
Decision of the Court

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Jennifer B. Campbell, Judge Lawrence F. Winthrop, and Judge Michael J. Brown delivered the decision of the Court.

---

**PER CURIAM:**

¶1 Petitioner Anant Kumar Tripathi seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's (approximate) 25th petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA