

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

v.

GERALD DWAYNE SNETHEN, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 19-0249 PRPC
FILED 11-19-2019

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County
No. S8015CR201501387
The Honorable Richard D. Lambert, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

APPEARANCES

Mohave County Attorney's Office, Kingman
By Matthew J. Smith
Counsel for Respondent

Gerald Dwayne Snethen, Eloy
Petitioner

STATE v. SNETHEN
Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Jennifer B. Campbell delivered the decision of the Court, in which Judges Lawrence F. Winthrop and Michael J. Brown joined.

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner Gerald Dwayne Snethen seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's first petition for review.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19, 278 P.3d 1276, 1280 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1, 260 P.3d 1102, 1103 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court
FILED: AA