

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

IN RE REYNA I.

No. 1 CA-JV 19-0169  
FILED 8-29-2019

---

Appeal from the Superior Court in Yuma County  
No. S1400JV20190009  
The Honorable Kathryn Stocking-Tate, Judge

**AFFIRMED**

---

COUNSEL

Law Office of Penny L. Higginbottom, Yuma  
By Penny Higginbottom  
*Counsel for Appellant Reyna I.*

Yuma County Attorney's Office, Yuma  
By Griselda Cordova  
*Counsel for Appellee*

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Judge Samuel A. Thumma delivered the decision of the Court, in which  
Presiding Judge Paul J. McMurdie and Chief Judge Peter B. Swann joined.

---

IN RE REYNA I.  
Decision of the Court

**T H U M M A**, Judge:

¶1 This is an appeal under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967) and *Maricopa County Juvenile Action No. JV-117258*, 163 Ariz. 484 (App. 1989). Counsel for appellant Reyna I. has advised the court that, after searching the entire record, she has found no arguable question of law and asks this court to conduct an *Anders* review of the record. This court has reviewed the record and has found no reversible error. Accordingly, the finding of delinquency and resulting disposition are affirmed.

**FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

¶2 In October 2018, when she was 11 years old, Reyna I. was found in possession of a vape pen with a usable amount of marijuana wax while at school. She was charged with possession of marijuana and possession of drug paraphernalia, each a class six felony. In May 2019, she admitted to an amended charge of possession of drug paraphernalia, a class one misdemeanor. After a full plea colloquy, the court accepted the admission and found her delinquent of the amended charge and dismissed the original charges. After considering a disposition report, and hearing argument, the court placed Reyna I. on supervised probation for nine months. This court has jurisdiction over Reyna I.'s timely appeal pursuant to Arizona revised Statutes sections 12-120.21(A)(1) and 8-235.

**DISCUSSION**

¶3 This court has reviewed and considered counsel's brief and has searched the entire record for reversible error. Searching the record and briefs reveals no reversible error. The record shows that Reyna I. was represented by counsel at all relevant stages of the proceedings. The record shows that Reyna I. knowingly, voluntarily and intentionally admitted the amended charge. From the record, all proceedings were conducted in compliance with the Arizona Rules of Procedure for Juvenile Court. The disposition imposed was authorized by statute.

IN RE REYNA I.  
Decision of the Court

CONCLUSION

¶4 This court has read and considered counsel's brief and has searched the record provided for reversible error and has found none. *See JV-117258*, 163 Ariz. at 488. Accordingly, the delinquency finding and disposition are affirmed.

¶5 Upon filing of this decision, counsel is directed to inform Reyna I. of the status of her appeal and of her future options. Counsel has no further obligations unless, upon review, counsel identifies an issue appropriate for submission to the Arizona Supreme Court by petition for review. *See State v. Shattuck*, 140 Ariz. 582, 584-85 (1984). Reyna I. shall have 30 days from the date of this decision to proceed, if she desires, with a pro se motion for reconsideration or petition for review.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA