

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

v.

WALTER HERMAN TAYLOR, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 19-0294 PRPC
FILED 2-13-2020

Appeal from the Superior Court in Yavapai County
No. P1300CR201800308
The Honorable Patricia A. Trebesch, Judge (*Retired*)

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Walter Herman Taylor, Eloy
Petitioner

Yavapai County Attorney's Office, Prescott
By Casi Marie Akerblade
Counsel for Respondent

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Samuel A. Thumma, Judge Randall M. Howe and Judge
Diane M. Johnsen delivered the decision of the Court.

STATE v. TAYLOR
Decision of the Court

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner Walter Herman Taylor seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's first petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. Petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 For the foregoing reasons, we grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court
FILED: AA