

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

KEVIN WEBBER, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 19-0310 PRPC  
FILED 2-13-2020

---

Appeal from the Superior Court in Maricopa County  
Nos. CR2006-158050-001  
CR2009-030776-001  
The Honorable Michael D. Gordon, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

COUNSEL

Kevin Webber, Grand Rapids, Michigan  
*Petitioner*

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix  
By Andrea L. Kever  
*Counsel for Respondent*

STATE v. WEBBER  
Decision of the Court

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Samuel A. Thumma, Judge Randall M. Howe and Judge Diane M. Johnsen delivered the decision of the Court.

---

**PER CURIAM:**

¶1 Petitioner Kevin Webber seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's first petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. Petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 For the foregoing reasons, we grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA