# IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

DANIEL A RODRIGUEZ, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 19-0437 PRPC FILED 5-26-2020

Appeal from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2014-107713-001 The Honorable Dean M. Fink, Judge

### **REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

**COUNSEL** 

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Daniel Strange Counsel for Respondent

Daniel A. Rodriguez, Florence *Petitioner* 

## STATE v. RODRIGUEZ Decision of the Court

#### **MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Judge David D. Weinzweig delivered the decision of the Court, in which Presiding Judge Jennifer M. Perkins and Judge James B. Morse Jr. joined.

### WEINZWEIG, Judge:

- ¶1 Petitioner Daniel A. Rodriguez seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's second petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19, 278 P.3d 1276, 1280 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1, 260 P.3d 1102, 1103 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- ¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA