ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

LEE PENNELL SHINE, JR., Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 19-0459 PRPC FILED 5-7-2020

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2012-005450-002 The Honorable Danielle J. Viola, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Daniel Strange Counsel for Respondent

Ballecer & Segal, LLP, Phoenix By Natalee E. Segal Counsel for Petitioner

STATE v. SHINE Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Lawrence F. Winthrop, Judge Maria Elena Cruz, and Judge David B. Gass delivered the decision of the Court.

PER CURIAM:

- Petitioner Lee Pennell Shine, Jr. seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's first petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- ¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 We grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA