ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

JANDIN ANTHONY RAUL MUNOZ, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 20-0195 PRPC FILED 9-29-2020

Appeal from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2016-137390-001 The Honorable Jay R. Adleman, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED AND RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Jeffrey R. Duvendack Counsel for Respondent

Michael J. Dew Attorney at Law, Phoenix By Michael J. Dew Counsel for Petitioner

STATE v. MUNOZ Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Randall M. Howe, Judge Kent E. Cattani, and Judge Cynthia J. Bailey delivered the decision of the Court.

PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Jandin Anthony Raul Munoz seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's first petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577 ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538 ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- $\P 3$ We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 For the foregoing reasons, we grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA