

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

LAWRENCE EMILE BOUCHER, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 20-0475 PRPC  
FILED 5-11-2021

---

Appeal from the Superior Court in Mohave County  
No. S8015CR201301012  
The Honorable Richard D. Lambert, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

COUNSEL

Mohave County Attorney's Office, Kingman  
By Matthew J. Smith  
*Counsel for Respondent*

Lawrence Emile Boucher, Florence  
*Petitioner*

STATE v. BOUCHER  
Decision of the Court

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Kent E. Cattani, Judge Samuel A. Thumma, and Judge Brian Y. Furuya delivered the decision of the Court.

---

**PER CURIAM:**

¶1 Petitioner Lawrence Emile Boucher seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief. This is Boucher's first petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19, 278 P.3d 1276, 1280 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1, 260 P.3d 1102, 1103 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA