

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

TODD ERIC HINSON, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 20-0553 PRPC  
FILED 7-15-2021

---

Appeal from the Superior Court in Maricopa County  
No. CR2013-000006-002  
The Honorable Jeffrey A. Rueter, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

COUNSEL

Todd Eric Hinson, Eloy  
*Petitioner*

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix  
By Amanda M. Parker  
*Counsel for Respondent*

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Chief Judge Kent E. Cattani, Judge Samuel A. Thumma and Judge Brian Y. Furuya delivered the decision of the Court.

---

STATE v. HINSON  
Decision of the Court

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner Todd Eric Hinson seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is Hinson's second petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is the petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find the petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA