

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

PHILIP LEE CARSON, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 20-0587 PRPC  
FILED 6-15-2021

---

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County  
No. CR 1990-005235  
The Honorable Katherine M. Cooper, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

APPEARANCES

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix  
By Amanda M. Parker  
*Counsel for Respondent*

Phillip Lee Carson, Florence  
*Petitioner*

STATE v. CARSON  
Decision of the Court

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge D. Steven Williams, Judge Jennifer B. Campbell, and Judge James B. Morse Jr. delivered the decision of the Court.

---

**PER CURIUM:**

¶1 Petitioner Phillip Lee Carson seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief. This is petitioner's latest successive petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19, 278 P.3d 1276, 1280 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1, 260 P.3d 1102, 1103 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. The petitioner has failed to show an abuse of discretion.

¶4 For the foregoing reasons, this court grants review but denies relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA