

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

*v.*

JASPER IAN WEBSTER, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 20-0609 PRPC  
FILED 5-6-2021

---

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Mohave County  
No. S8015CR201101290  
The Honorable Richard D. Lambert, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

COUNSEL

Mohave County Attorney's Office, Kingman  
By James M. Schoppmann  
*Counsel for Respondent*

Jasper Ian Webster, Florence  
*Petitioner*

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge David B. Gass, Judge Michael J. Brown, and Judge David  
D. Weinzwieg delivered the decision of the Court.

---

STATE v. WEBSTER  
Decision of the Court

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner Jasper Ian Webster seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is petitioner's third petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, the petition for review, response, and the reply. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 For the foregoing reasons, we grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA