ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

JOE ANTHONY VERDUZCO, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 21-0150 PRPC FILED 8-31-2021

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2014-002680-001 The Honorable Jay R. Adleman, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Jeffrey R. Duvendack Counsel for Respondent

The Nolan Law Firm PLLC, Mesa By Todd E. Nolan Counsel for Petitioner

STATE v. VERDUZCO Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Cynthia J. Bailey, Judge Jennifer M. Perkins and Judge Maria Elena Cruz delivered the decision of the Court.

PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Joe Anthony Verduzco seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief. This is petitioner's first petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19, 278 P.3d 1276, 1280 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1, 260 P.3d 1102, 1103 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- $\P 3$ We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA