# ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

ANTHONY ARTEZ WHITMORE, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 21-0289 PRPC FILED 11-16-2021

Appeal from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2013-002730-003 The Honorable Frank W. Moskowitz, Judge

## **REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

COUNSEL

Anthony Artez Whitmore, Florence *Petitioner* 

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Amanda Parker Counsel for Respondent

#### MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Samuel A. Thumma, Chief Judge Kent E. Cattani and Judge Paul J. McMurdie delivered the decision of the Court.

# STATE v. WHITMORE Decision of the Court

### PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Anthony Artez Whitmore seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is Whitmore's second petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is the petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- ¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find the petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 We grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA