NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION. UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

# IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

EWING REDMOND SAMUELS, Petitioner.

No. 1 CA-CR 21-0557 PRPC FILED 5-31-2022

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2016-113647-001 The Honorable Geoffrey H. Fish, Judge

#### **REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

#### COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Amanda M. Parker *Counsel for Respondent* 

Ewing Redmond Samuels, Tucson *Petitioner* 

### STATE v. SAMUELS Decision of the Court

## MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Cynthia J. Bailey, Judge Peter B. Swann and Judge D. Steven Williams delivered the decision of the Court.

### PER CURIAM:

**¶1** Petitioner Ewing Redmond Samuels seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief. This is petitioner's fourth successive petition.

**¶2** Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, **¶** 19, 278 P.3d 1276, 1280 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, **¶** 1, 260 P.3d 1102, 1103 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

 $\P 3$  We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

**¶4** We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: AA