IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent,

v.

ETHAN DANE GIPSON, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 21-0587 PRPC FILED 7-28-2022

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Maricopa County No. CR2013-459705-001 The Honorable Dewain D. Fox, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix By Krista Wood Counsel for Respondent

Ethan Dane Gipson, Florence *Petitioner*

STATE v. GIPSON Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Judge David D. Weinzweig, Judge Brian Y. Furuya and Judge Jennifer M. Perkins delivered the decision of the Court.

PER CURIAM:

- ¶1 Petitioner Gipson seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief. This is petitioner's first petition.
- Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19, 278 P.3d 1276, 1280 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1, 260 P.3d 1102, 1103 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).
- $\P 3$ We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.
- ¶4 We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court FILED: JT