

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION ONE

STATE OF ARIZONA, *Respondent*,

v.

MODESTO CORTES SERILLO, *Petitioner*.

No. 1 CA-CR 22-0048 PRPC
FILED 7-26-2022

Petition for Review from the Superior Court in Yuma County
No. S1400CR201400470
The Honorable Brandon S. Kinsey, Judge

REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED

COUNSEL

Yuma County Attorney's Office, Yuma
By Charles V. S. Platt
Counsel for Respondent

Elizabeth Brown Attorney at Law, Goodyear
By Elizabeth Brown
Counsel for Petitioner

STATE v. SERILLO
Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Brian Y. Furuya, Judge Jennifer B. Campbell, and Judge Paul J. McMurdie delivered the following decision.

PER CURIAM:

¶1 Petitioner Modesto Cortes Serillo seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief, filed pursuant to Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1. This is Serillo's first petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19 (2012). It is the petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, 538, ¶ 1 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review, response, and reply. We find the petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review but deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court
FILED: JT