

NOTICE: NOT FOR OFFICIAL PUBLICATION.  
UNDER ARIZONA RULE OF THE SUPREME COURT 111(c), THIS DECISION IS NOT PRECEDENTIAL  
AND MAY BE CITED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY RULE.

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION ONE

---

STATE OF ARIZONA,  
*Respondent,*

v.

ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ,  
*Petitioner.*

No. 1 CA-CR 22-0090 PRPC  
FILED 10-25-2022

---

Petition for Review from the Superior Court of Maricopa County  
No. CR2014-001276-001  
The Honorable Bradley H. Astrowsky, Judge

**REVIEW GRANTED; RELIEF DENIED**

---

APPEARANCES

Maricopa County Attorney's Office, Phoenix  
By Krista Wood  
*Counsel for Respondent*

Armando Rodriguez, Kingman  
*Petitioner*

STATE v. RODRIGUEZ  
Decision of the Court

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge David D. Weinzweig, Judge Randall M. Howe and Judge D. Steven Williams delivered the decision of the Court.

---

**PER CURIAM:**

¶1 Petitioner Rodriguez seeks review of the superior court's order denying his petition for post-conviction relief. This is petitioner's second petition.

¶2 Absent an abuse of discretion or error of law, this court will not disturb a superior court's ruling on a petition for post-conviction relief. *State v. Gutierrez*, 229 Ariz. 573, 577, ¶ 19, 278 P.3d 1276, 1280 (2012). It is petitioner's burden to show that the superior court abused its discretion by denying the petition for post-conviction relief. *See State v. Poblete*, 227 Ariz. 537, ¶ 1, 260 P.3d 1102, 1103 (App. 2011) (petitioner has burden of establishing abuse of discretion on review).

¶3 We have reviewed the record in this matter, the superior court's order denying the petition for post-conviction relief, and the petition for review. We find that petitioner has not established an abuse of discretion.

¶4 We grant review and deny relief.



AMY M. WOOD • Clerk of the Court  
FILED: AA