NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES. See Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c);

Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS STATE OF ARIZONA DIVISION ONE

DIVISION ONE
FILED: 12/28/2010
RUTH WILLINGHAM,
ACTING CLERK
BY: GH
BIOGH

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Appellee,

V.

(Not for Publication) Rule 111, Rules of the
) Arizona Supreme Court)

JACK FREDERICK STEPHENSON,

Appellant.
)

Appeal from the Superior Court of Mohave County

Cause No. CR2006-0641

The Honorable Rick A. Williams, Judge

AFFIRMED

Terry Goddard, Attorney General

By Kent E. Cattani, Chief Counsel,

Criminal Appeals and Capital Litigation Section

Attorneys for Appellee

Jill L. Evans, Mohave County Appellate Defender

Attorney for Appellant

THOMPSON, Judge

 $\P 1$ This case comes to us as an appeal under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and State v. Leon, 104 Ariz.

297, 451 P.2d 878 (1969). Counsel for Jack Frederick Stephenson (defendant) has advised us that, after searching the entire record, she has been unable to discover any arguable questions of law and has filed a brief requesting that this court conduct an Anders review of the record. Defendant has been afforded an opportunity to file a supplemental brief in propria persona, and he has not done so. For the following reasons, we affirm.

In September 2005, Bullhead City Police searched defendant's residence and found cocaine, 7.84 ounces of methamphetamine, various drug paraphernalia, acetone, and butane lighter fluid. The police arrested defendant, and he admitted to police that he was selling methamphetamine.

Defendant was charged with one count of possession of dangerous drugs for sale, a class 2 felony, one count of possession of equipment or chemicals or both for the manufacture of dangerous drugs, a class 3 felony, possession of dangerous drugs, a class 4 felony, possession of drug paraphernalia, a class 6 felony, and possession or use of narcotic drugs, a class 4 felony. A jury convicted defendant of all five counts. The trial court sentenced defendant to mitigated sentences of five years in prison for count one,

¹ The state also charged defendant with three counts of child endangerment; the trial court dismissed those counts.

three years in prison for count two, two years in prison for count three, six months in prison for count four, and two years in prison for count five, with the sentences on counts two through five to be served concurrently to count one. The court gave defendant credit for thirty-four days of presentence incarceration.

¶4 We have read and considered counsel's brief and have searched the entire record for reversible error. See Leon, 104 Ariz. at 300, 451 P.2d at 881. We find none. All of the proceedings were conducted in compliance with the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure. So far as the record reveals, defendant was adequately represented by counsel at all stages of the proceedings, and the sentence imposed was within the statutory limits. Pursuant to State v. Shattuck, 140 Ariz. 582, 584-85, 684 P.2d 154, 156-57 (1984), defendant's counsel's obligations in this appeal are at an end. Defendant has thirty days from the date of this decision in which to proceed, if he desires, with a pro se motion reconsideration or petition for review.

		/s/	
		JON W. THOMPSON, Judge	
CONCURRING:			
	/s/		
DONN KESSLER,	Presiding Judge		
	/s/		

¶5 We affirm the convictions and sentences.

DANIEL A. BARKER, Judge