

NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND  
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.  
*See* Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24

FILED BY CLERK

SEP -6 2013

COURT OF APPEALS  
DIVISION TWO

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
STATE OF ARIZONA  
DIVISION TWO

IN RE RICARDO S. )  
) 2 CA-JV 2013-0071  
) DEPARTMENT B  
)  
) MEMORANDUM DECISION  
) Not for Publication  
) Rule 28, Rules of Civil  
) Appellate Procedure  
)  
\_\_\_\_\_)

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF SANTA CRUZ COUNTY

Cause No. JV2012173

Honorable Kimberly A. Corsaro, Judge Pro Tempore

AFFIRMED

\_\_\_\_\_  
Law Office of Thomas E. Higgins  
By Thomas E. Higgins

Tucson  
Attorney for Minor

\_\_\_\_\_  
ECKERSTROM, Judge.

¶1 Pursuant to a plea agreement, appellant Ricardo S. admitted having possessed drug paraphernalia. The juvenile court adjudicated Ricardo delinquent and placed him on a six-month term of probation. Counsel has filed a brief pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), *State v. Leon*, 104 Ariz. 297, 451 P.2d 878 (1969), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999). See also *In re Maricopa Cnty. Juv. Action No. JV-117258*, 163 Ariz. 484, 486, 788 P.2d 1235, 1237 (App. 1989) (juveniles adjudicated delinquent have constitutional right to *Anders* appeal). Counsel states that, based on his review, “there is no issue of arguable merit in this case.”

¶2 We agree with counsel’s assessment. The record supports the juvenile court’s findings that Ricardo’s admission to having possessed drug paraphernalia was knowing, voluntary, and intelligent and that he provided an adequate factual basis to support those admissions. See A.R.S. § 13-3415(A), (F)(2). And the record establishes the court appropriately exercised its discretion in placing Ricardo on probation. See A.R.S. § 8-341(A)(1)(b), (B); Ariz. R. P. Juv. Ct. 31(A); *In re John G.*, 191 Ariz. 205, ¶ 8, 953 P.2d 1258, 1260 (App. 1998) (“We will not disturb a juvenile court’s disposition order absent an abuse of discretion.”).

¶3 The juvenile court’s adjudication and disposition are affirmed.

*/s/ Peter J. Eckerstrom*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PETER J. ECKERSTROM, Judge

CONCURRING:

*/s/ Virginia C. Kelly*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
VIRGINIA C. KELLY, Presiding Judge

*/s/ Philip G. Espinosa*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PHILIP G. ESPINOSA, Judge