IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA, *Appellee*,

v.

MELISSA ROSE SOQUI, *Appellant*.

No. 2 CA-CR 2014-0273 Filed April 22, 2015

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES. NOT FOR PUBLICATION See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.

> Appeal from the Superior Court in Pinal County No. S1100CR201300536 The Honorable Henry G. Gooday Jr., Judge

AFFIRMED

COUNSEL

Heard Law Firm, Mesa By James L. Heard *Counsel for Appellant*

STATE v. SOQUI Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Chief Judge Eckerstrom authored the decision of the Court, in which Presiding Judge Miller and Judge Espinosa concurred.

E C K E R S T R O M, Chief Judge:

¶1 After a jury trial, Melissa Soqui was convicted of aggravated assault with a deadly weapon or dangerous instrument, a dangerous, domestic violence offense. The trial court sentenced her to a six-year prison term. Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), asserting he has reviewed the record but found no arguable issue to raise on appeal. Consistent with *Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, ¶ 32, 2 P.3d at 97, he has provided "a detailed factual and procedural history of the case with citations to the record" and asks this court to search the record for error. Soqui has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶2 Viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to sustaining the verdict, *see State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, **¶** 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999), sufficient evidence supports the jury's verdict here. In April 2013, Soqui slashed her husband several times with razor blades, causing several lacerations. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-105(13), 13-1203(A)(1), 13-1204(A)(2), 13-3601(A)(1).¹ Her prison term is within the statutory limit and was imposed properly. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-704(A), 13-1204(D).

¶3 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental error and found none. *See State* v. *Fuller*, 143 Ariz. 571, 575, 694 P.2d 1185, 1189 (1985) (*Anders*)

¹We cite the current versions of these statutes, as they have not changed in relevant part since Soqui committed her offense.

STATE v. SOQUI Decision of the Court

requires court to search record for fundamental error). Accordingly, we affirm Soqui's conviction and sentence.