

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION TWO

---

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,  
*Appellee,*

*v.*

RAMON GUILLERMO TRUJILLO,  
*Appellant.*

No. 2 CA-CR 2015-0080  
Filed November 24, 2015

---

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND  
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

*See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.*

---

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pima County  
No. CR20132668001  
The Honorable Howard Fell, Judge Pro Tempore

**AFFIRMED**

---

COUNSEL

Steven R. Sonenberg, Pima County Public Defender  
By Katherine A. Estavillo, Assistant Public Defender, Tucson  
*Counsel for Appellant*

STATE v. TRUJILLO  
Decision of the Court

---

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Vásquez authored the decision of the Court, in which Judge Howard and Judge Kelly<sup>1</sup> concurred.

---

V Á S Q U E Z, Presiding Judge:

¶1 After a jury trial, Ramon Trujillo was convicted of sexual assault and kidnapping. The trial court sentenced him to concurrent prison terms, the longer of which is seven years.

¶2 Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), asserting she has reviewed the record but found no “arguably meritorious issue to raise on appeal.” Consistent with *Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, ¶ 32, 2 P.3d at 97, she has provided “a detailed factual and procedural history of the case with citations to the record” and asks this court to search the record for error. Trujillo has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶3 Viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to sustaining the verdicts, *see State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999), sufficient evidence supports the jury’s verdicts here. In August 2000, Trujillo grabbed the victim as she was walking alone and pushed her to the ground; he then penetrated her vagina with his penis. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-1304(A)(3); 13-1401(A)(4), (7); 13-1406(A). Trujillo’s prison terms were within the statutory limits and imposed properly. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-702(D), 13-1304(B), 13-1406(B).

---

<sup>1</sup>The Hon. Virginia C. Kelly, a retired judge of this court, is called back to active duty to serve on this case pursuant to orders of this court and our supreme court.

STATE v. TRUJILLO  
Decision of the Court

¶4 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental error and have found none. See *State v. Fuller*, 143 Ariz. 571, 575, 694 P.2d 1185, 1189 (1985) (*Anders* requires court to search record for fundamental error). Accordingly, we affirm Trujillo's convictions and sentences.