

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION TWO

---

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,  
*Appellee,*

*v.*

HUGO ALFREDO ESTRADA-SOTO,  
*Appellant.*

No. 2 CA-CR 2015-0471  
Filed June 9, 2016

---

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND  
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

*See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.*

---

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pinal County  
No. S1100CR201500772  
The Honorable Kevin D. White, Judge

**AFFIRMED**

---

COUNSEL

Flores & Clark, PC, Globe  
By Daisy Flores  
*Counsel for Appellant*

STATE v. ESTRADA-SOTO  
Decision of the Court

---

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Judge Espinosa authored the decision of the Court, in which Presiding Judge Howard and Judge Staring concurred.

---

ESPINOSA, Judge:

¶1 After a jury trial, Hugo Estrada-Soto was convicted of possession of methamphetamine for sale and sentenced to a seven-year prison term. Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), asserting she has reviewed the record but found no arguable issue to raise on appeal. Consistent with *Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, ¶ 32, 2 P.3d at 97, she has provided “a detailed factual and procedural history of the case with citations to the record” and asks this court to search the record for error. Estrada-Soto has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶2 Viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to sustaining the jury’s verdict, *see State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999), sufficient evidence supports it here. In October 2014, Estrada-Soto sold an informant just over three grams of methamphetamine. A.R.S. §§ 13-3401(6)(xxxviii), 13-3407(A)(2). His sentence is within the statutory range and was properly imposed. § 13-3407(E).

¶3 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental error and found none. *See State v. Fuller*, 143 Ariz. 571, 575, 694 P.2d 1185, 1189 (1985). Estrada-Soto’s conviction and sentence are therefore affirmed.