

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,
Appellee,

v.

LUIS DANIEL COLLAZO,
Appellant.

No. 2 CA-CR 2016-0257
Filed January 19, 2017

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pima County

No. CR20153532001

The Honorable Scott Rash, Judge

AFFIRMED

COUNSEL

Steven R. Sonenberg, Pima County Public Defender
By David J. Euchner, Assistant Public Defender, Tucson
Counsel for Appellant

STATE v. COLLAZO
Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Judge Vásquez authored the decision of the Court, in which Presiding Judge Howard and Chief Judge Eckerstrom concurred.

VÁSQUEZ, Judge:

¶1 After a jury trial, Luis Collazo was convicted of continuous sexual abuse of a child, two counts of sexual conduct with a minor under the age of fifteen, and two counts of furnishing obscene or harmful items to minors. The jury additionally found the victim of sexual conduct, Collazo's son, was twelve years old or younger at the time of those offenses. Collazo was sentenced to concurrent and consecutive prison terms, including two consecutive terms of life imprisonment without the possibility of release for thirty-five years.

¶2 Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), asserting he has reviewed the record but found no arguably meritorious issue to raise on appeal. Consistent with *Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, ¶ 32, 2 P.3d at 97, he has provided "a detailed factual and procedural history of the case with citations to the record" and asks this court to search the record for error. Collazo has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶3 Viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to sustaining the jury's verdicts, see *State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999), sufficient evidence supports them here. From at least 2013 to late 2014, Collazo engaged in ongoing sexual abuse of his son (who was eight years old at the time of trial in 2016) and his stepson (fourteen years old at the time of trial), including anally penetrating his son with his penis and with an object, anally penetrating his stepson with his penis, and forcing his stepson to perform oral sex; he also showed both victims

STATE v. COLLAZO
Decision of the Court

pornographic material. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-1405(A), 13-1417(A), 13-3506(A). Collazo's sentences are within the statutory range and were properly imposed. A.R.S. §§ 13-705(A), (C), 13-1405(B), 13-1417(B), 13-3506(C).

¶4 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental error and found none. *See State v. Fuller*, 143 Ariz. 571, 575, 694 P.2d 1185, 1189 (1985). Accordingly, we affirm Collazo's convictions and sentences.