

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION TWO

---

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,  
*Appellee,*

*v.*

LARRY JAMES FOWLER,  
*Appellant.*

No. 2 CA-CR 2016-0354  
Filed August 21, 2017

---

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND  
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

*See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.*

---

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pima County  
No. CR20160832001  
The Honorable Paul E. Tang, Judge

**AFFIRMED**

---

COUNSEL

Joel B. Feinman, Pima County Public Defender  
By David J. Euchner, Assistant Public Defender, Tucson  
*Counsel for Appellant*

STATE v. FOWLER  
Decision of the Court

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Presiding Judge Staring authored the decision of the Court, in which Judge Espinosa and Judge Kelly<sup>1</sup> concurred.

---

S T A R I N G, Presiding Judge:

¶1 Following a jury trial, appellant Larry Fowler was convicted of disorderly conduct involving the reckless handling or display of a deadly weapon, misdemeanor assault, and misdemeanor theft. The trial court found he had two historical prior felony convictions and sentenced him to an enhanced, presumptive prison term of 3.75 years for the disorderly conduct and to time served on the misdemeanor charges.

¶2 Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), stating he has reviewed the record and has found no arguably meritorious issues to raise on appeal. Consistent with *Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, ¶ 32, 2 P.3d at 97, he has provided “a detailed factual and procedural history of the case with citations to the record” and asks this court to search the record for error. Fowler has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶3 The evidence, viewed in the light most favorable to sustaining Fowler’s convictions, *see State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999), was sufficient to support the jury’s verdicts. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-1203(A)(2), 13-1802(A)(1), (G), 13-2904(A)(6). After accepting a ride in the vehicle R.P. was driving, with R.P.’s girlfriend V.G. riding in the passenger seat, Fowler placed a gun

---

<sup>1</sup>The Hon. Virginia C. Kelly, a retired judge of this court, is called back to active duty to serve on this case pursuant to orders of this court and our supreme court.

STATE v. FOWLER  
Decision of the Court

to the back of R.P.'s head and demanded "everything." R.P. gave him his wallet, \$300.00, a telephone, and a handgun. Evidence also supported the trial court's finding of two historical prior convictions, and Fowler's sentences were authorized by statute and imposed in a proper manner. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-105(22)(b), (c); 13-703(C), (J); 13-707(A).

¶4 In our examination of the record, we have found no reversible error and no arguable issue warranting further appellate review. *See Anders*, 386 U.S. at 744. Accordingly, we affirm Fowler's convictions and sentences.