

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,
Appellee,

v.

CHARLES A. DOMINGUEZ,
Appellant.

No. 2 CA-CR 2017-0061
Filed December 20, 2017

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pinal County
No. S1100CR201502041
The Honorable Steven J. Fuller, Judge

AFFIRMED

COUNSEL

Rosemary Gordon Pánuco, Tucson
Counsel for Appellant

STATE v. DOMINGUEZ
Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Vásquez authored the decision of the Court, in which Chief Judge Eckerstrom and Judge Eppich concurred.

V Á S Q U E Z, Presiding Judge:

¶1 After a jury trial, Charles Dominguez was convicted of third-degree burglary and sentenced to a 2.5-year prison term. Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530 (App. 1999), asserting she has reviewed the record but found no arguably meritorious issue to raise on appeal. Consistent with *Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, ¶ 32, she has provided “a detailed factual and procedural history of the case with citations to the record.” Dominguez has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶2 Viewed in the light most favorable to sustaining the jury’s verdict, see *State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2 (App. 1999), the evidence is sufficient to support the verdict here. In September 2014, Dominguez and another individual entered a commercial building and took several items. See A.R.S. § 13-1506(A)(1). His sentence is within the statutory range and was lawfully imposed. See A.R.S. §§ 13-702(D), 13-1506(B).

¶3 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental, reversible error and have found none. Accordingly, we affirm Dominguez’s conviction and sentence.