

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

IN RE K.H.

No. 2 CA-JV 2016-0179
Filed February 1, 2017

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Civ. App. P. 28(a)(1), (f);
Ariz. R. P. Juv. Ct. 103(G).

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pima County
No. JV20160301
The Honorable Javier Chon-Lopez, Judge

AFFIRMED

COUNSEL

Steven R. Sonenberg, Pima County Public Defender
By Susan C. L. Kelly, Assistant Public Defender, Tucson
Counsel for Minor

IN RE K.H.
Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Chief Judge Eckerstrom authored the decision of the Court, in which Presiding Judge Howard and Judge Vásquez concurred.

ECKERSTROM, Chief Judge:

¶1 After a delinquency hearing, the juvenile court found K.H. had committed sexual assault and kidnapping with intent to inflict death, physical injury, or a sexual offense on the victim. The court adjudicated K.H. delinquent and placed him on juvenile intensive probation until his eighteenth birthday. K.H.'s counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), asking this court to review the record for error. See *In re Maricopa Cnty. Juv. Action No. JV-117258*, 163 Ariz. 484, 485-87, 788 P.2d 1235, 1236-38 (App. 1989) (affording juveniles adjudicated delinquent *Anders*-type review on appeal).

¶2 Viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to sustaining the juvenile court's adjudication, see *In re Julio L.*, 197 Ariz. 1, ¶ 6, 3 P.3d 383, 384-85 (2000), we conclude sufficient evidence supports the court's findings that K.H. committed the offenses listed above. In 2015, fourteen-year-old K.H. forced his fifteen-year-old classmate into a restroom, pinned her to the floor, and had nonconsensual intercourse with her. See A.R.S. §§ 13-1304(A)(3), 13-1406(A). The court's disposition was compliant with governing law. See A.R.S. §§ 8-341(A), (B), 8-352(C), (D).

¶3 We have searched the record as requested and find no reversible error. Therefore, the juvenile court's order adjudicating K.H. delinquent and its disposition are affirmed.