

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,
Appellee,

v.

MAXIMINO GABRIEL MATASCRAZ,
Appellant.

No. 2 CA-CR 2018-0108
Filed March 25, 2019

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.
NOT FOR PUBLICATION
See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.19(e).

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pima County
No. CR20171944001
The Honorable Deborah Bernini, Judge

AFFIRMED

COUNSEL

Joel Feinman, Pima County Public Defender
By Abigail Jensen, Assistant Public Defender, Tucson
Counsel for Appellant

STATE v. MATASCRANZ
Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Presiding Judge Staring authored the decision of the Court, in which Judge Vásquez and Judge Brearcliffe concurred.

STARING, Presiding Judge:

¶1 Following a jury trial, appellant Maximino Matascranz was convicted of unlawful imprisonment of a thirteen-year old.¹ The trial court sentenced him to a 1.75-year prison term. Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530 (App. 1999), stating she has reviewed the record and has found no “arguably meritorious issue to raise on appeal.” Counsel has asked us to search the record for fundamental error. Matascranz has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶2 Viewed in the light most favorable to sustaining the verdict, see *State v. Delgado*, 232 Ariz. 182, ¶ 2 (App. 2013), the evidence presented at trial was sufficient to support the jury’s finding of guilt, see A.R.S. § 13-1303(A). The evidence showed that Matascranz, who was on probation for another conviction at the time of the offense, locked the thirteen-year-old victim in a bathroom. We further conclude the sentence imposed is within the statutory limit. See A.R.S. §§ 13-703(B), (I), 13-1303(C).

¶3 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental, reversible error and have found none. Therefore, Matascranz’s conviction and sentence are affirmed.

¹Matascranz subsequently pled guilty to another count after the jury was unable to reach a verdict on various other charges against him.