IN THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS

DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA, *Appellee*,

v.

MARCO LEVONTE WILLIAMS, *Appellant*.

No. 2 CA-CR 2019-0105 Filed March 25, 2020

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.19(e).

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pima County No. CR20174072001 The Honorable Janet C. Bostwick, Judge

AFFIRMED	

COUNSEL

James Fullin, Pima County Legal Defender By Jeffrey Kautenburger, Assistant Legal Defender, Tucson Counsel for Appellant

STATE v. WILLIAMS Decision of the Court

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Judge Eckerstrom authored the decision of the Court, in which Presiding Judge Eppich and Judge Espinosa concurred.

E C K E R S T R O M, Judge:

- ¶1 After a jury trial, Marco Williams was found guilty of second-degree murder, a dangerous offense. The trial court sentenced him to a partially aggravated, twenty-seven-year term of imprisonment. On appeal, counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530 (App. 1999), stating he has reviewed the record but "found no tenable issue to raise." Counsel has asked this court to review the record for error. Williams has not filed a supplemental brief.
- Viewed in the light most favorable to sustaining the jury's verdict, *see State v. Miles*, 211 Ariz. 475, ¶ 2 (App. 2005), the evidence is sufficient here, *see* A.R.S. § 13-1104(A). One night in August 2017, Williams and J.B. had an exchange in a parking lot, and J.B. attempted to run away. Williams chased after J.B. and stabbed him twice, once in the shoulder and once in the chest. J.B. died as a result of his injuries.
- ¶3 The evidence also supports the jury's finding of aggravating factors and the trial court's finding that Williams had multiple historical, dangerous-nature, class two or three felony convictions. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-701(C), (D), 13-710(B). The sentence imposed is within the statutory range. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-710(B), 13-1104(C).
- ¶4 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for reversible error and have found none. Accordingly, we affirm Williams's conviction and sentence.