

IN THE  
**ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS**  
DIVISION TWO

---

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,  
*Appellee,*

*v.*

CHARLOTTE L. BURTON,  
*Appellant.*

No. 2 CA-CR 2021-0117  
Filed July 8, 2022

---

THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND  
MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.  
NOT FOR PUBLICATION  
*See Ariz. R. Sup. Ct. 111(c)(1); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.19(e).*

---

Appeal from the Superior Court in Pinal County  
No. S1100CR202100064  
The Honorable Christopher J. O'Neil, Judge

**AFFIRMED**

---

COUNSEL

Weagant Law Offices PLC, Florence  
By Megan K. Weagant  
*Counsel for Appellant*

STATE v. BURTON  
Decision of the Court

---

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**

Vice Chief Judge Staring authored the decision of the Court, in which Presiding Judge Eppich and Judge Brearcliffe concurred.

---

STARING, Vice Chief Judge:

¶1 After a jury trial, Charlotte Burton was found guilty of possession of a dangerous drug, resisting arrest, and possession of drug paraphernalia. The trial court suspended the imposition of sentence and placed Burton on concurrent three-year terms of probation.

¶2 Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530 (App. 1999), stating she has reviewed the record but found no “non-frivolous issue to raise on appeal” and asking this court to review the record for error. Burton has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶3 Viewed in the light most favorable to sustaining the jury’s verdicts, *see State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2 (App. 1999), the evidence is sufficient here, *see* A.R.S. §§ 13-2508(A)(2), 13-3407(A)(1), 13-3415(A). In January 2021, a deputy sheriff sought to arrest Burton on an outstanding warrant, but she backed away from the deputy, refused to comply with his commands, and pulled away from him when he attempted “to put her on the ground”; a small baggie of methamphetamine and a syringe containing methamphetamine were found in her backpack. The probation terms were lawfully imposed. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-901(A), 13-902(A)(3), (4), 13-2508(B), 13-3407(B), (C), 13-3415(A).

¶4 We have searched the record for reversible error and found none. Accordingly, we affirm Burton’s convictions and the trial court’s disposition.