NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES. *See* Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24

FILED BY CLERK
FEB 17 2011

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS STATE OF ARIZONA DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,)	2 CA-CR 2010-0287
)	DEPARTMENT B
	Appellee,)	
)	MEMORANDUM DECISION
V.)	Not for Publication
)	Rule 111, Rules of
INELDA ENIS,)	the Supreme Court
)	
	Appellant.)	
		_)	

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PIMA COUNTY

Cause No. CR20092892001

Honorable Charles S. Sabalos, Judge

AFFIRMED

Isabel G. Garcia, Pima County Legal Defender By Alex Heveri

Tucson Attorneys for Appellant

VÁSQUEZ, Presiding Judge.

Following a two-day jury trial, appellant Inelda Enis was convicted of two counts of sale of a dangerous drug, specifically, Phencyclidine (PCP), class two felonies. *See* A.R.S. § 13-3407(A)(7). Enis admitted to having a non-historical prior felony conviction, and the trial court sentenced her to concurrent, mitigated, four-year prison terms, with credit for thirty-four days served. Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d

89 (App. 1999), stating she has reviewed the entire record and has found no meritorious

issues to raise on appeal. Counsel has asked us to search the record for "error." Enis has

not filed a supplemental brief.

 $\P 2$ Viewed in the light most favorable to sustaining the verdicts, the evidence

was sufficient to support the jury's findings of guilt. See State v. Tamplin, 195 Ariz. 246,

¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999). The evidence presented at trial showed that, on two

occasions in November 2008, Enis met another individual and an undercover police

officer at designated locations and provided PCP to the individual in the presence of the

officer. The other individual then gave the PCP to the officer in exchange for the

payment of money. We further conclude the sentences imposed are within the statutory

limits.

¶3 Pursuant to our obligation under Anders, we have searched the record for

fundamental, reversible error and have found none. Therefore, we affirm Enis's

convictions and sentences.

/s/ Garye L. Vásquez
GARYE L. VÁSQUEZ, Presiding Judge

CONCURRING:

/s/ Deter J. Eckerstrom

PETER J. ECKERSTROM, Judge

/s/ Virginia C. Kelly VIRGINIA C. KELLY, Judge

2