

**NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES.** See Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.

FILED BY CLERK  
JAN 23 2009  
COURT OF APPEALS  
DIVISION TWO

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
STATE OF ARIZONA  
DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,	)	
	)	
Appellee,	)	2 CA-CR 2008-0250
	)	DEPARTMENT A
v.	)	<u>MEMORANDUM DECISION</u>
	)	Not for Publication
AMADI SCHALON CANNON,	)	Rule 111, Rules of
	)	the Supreme Court
Appellant.	)	
_____	)	

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PIMA COUNTY

Cause No. CR-20071520

Honorable Richard S. Fields, Judge

AFFIRMED

Isabel G. Garcia, Pima County Legal Defender  
By Stephan J. McCaffery

Tucson  
Attorneys for Appellant

ESPINOSA, Judge.

¶1 Following a jury trial, appellant Amadi Cannon was convicted of sale of a narcotic drug, a class two felony. After finding that Cannon had two prior felony convictions, the trial court sentenced him to a “partially substantially” mitigated, twelve-year

prison term. Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), stating he has thoroughly reviewed the record and has found no meritorious issues to raise on appeal. He asks us to search the record for error. Cannon has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶2 Viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to sustaining the verdict, we find there was sufficient evidence to support the jury's finding of guilt. *See State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999). And, the sentence is well within statutory parameters and was lawfully imposed. Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental, reversible error and have found none. Therefore, we affirm Cannon's conviction and sentence.

---

PHILIP G. ESPINOSA, Judge

CONCURRING:

---

JOHN PELANDER, Chief Judge

---

JOSEPH W. HOWARD, Presiding Judge