

NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE RULES. See Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.

FILED BY CLERK
AUG 13 2009
COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
STATE OF ARIZONA
DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,)	
)	
Appellee,)	2 CA-CR 2009-0021
)	DEPARTMENT B
v.)	<u>MEMORANDUM DECISION</u>
)	Not for Publication
SHEILA FRANCES YVONNE STONE,)	Rule 111, Rules of
)	the Supreme Court
Appellant.)	
_____)	

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PIMA COUNTY

Cause No. CR-20081023

Honorable Howard Hantman, Judge

AFFIRMED

R. Lamar Couser

Tucson
Attorney for Appellant

V Á S Q U E Z, Judge.

¶1 Following a jury trial, Sheila Stone was convicted of aggravated driving under the influence of an intoxicant, aggravated driving with an alcohol concentration of .08 or more, and refusing to submit to a required chemical test, all while she had been required to

equip any motor vehicle she operated with a certified ignition-interlock device. The trial court found she had two historical prior felony convictions and imposed concurrent, substantially mitigated sentences, the longest of which is six years' imprisonment. Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), raising no arguable issues but asking that we review the entire record for fundamental error. Stone has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶2 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have reviewed the record in its entirety, and we have found no error warranting reversal. Viewed in the light most favorable to upholding the verdict, *see State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999), the evidence supports the convictions, and the evidence presented to the trial court supports its finding of historical prior convictions. The sentences the court imposed are within the statutory range authorized for the offenses. Therefore, we affirm Stone's convictions and sentences.

GARYE L. VÁSQUEZ, Judge

CONCURRING:

PETER J. ECKERSTROM, Presiding Judge

J. WILLIAM BRAMMER, JR., Judge