

Cite as 2011 Ark. App. 410

**ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS**

DIVISION IV

No. CACR09-1302

RAYMOND YSEL BELL

APPELLANT

V.

STATE OF ARKANSAS

APPELLEE

Opinion Delivered JUNE 1, 2011

APPEAL FROM THE SEBASTIAN  
COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT, FORT  
SMITH DISTRICT  
[NO. CR-2006-657]HONORABLE STEPHEN TABOR,  
JUDGE

AFFIRMED; MOTION GRANTED

**RITA W. GRUBER, Judge**

We have twice ordered rebriefing in this no-merit appeal. In *Bell v. State*, 2010 Ark. App. 66, we ordered rebriefing because counsel failed to comply with Rule 4-3(k) of the Rules of the Arkansas Supreme Court and Court of Appeals. Counsel then filed a substituted brief, and we again ordered rebriefing in *Bell v. State*, 2011 Ark. App. 103, for failure to comply with Rule 4-3(k). Counsel has now filed another substituted brief appealing appellant's revocation of his suspended sentence for aggravated robbery and a motion to withdraw on the ground that this appeal is wholly without merit. We forwarded counsel's brief to appellant, informing him that he could submit points for reversal in accordance with Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 4-3(k)(2) by April 11, 2011, if he chose. Appellant did not respond.

Our review of the record reveals that counsel has finally addressed all rulings adverse to appellant made by the trial court and explained why each adverse ruling is not a

Cite as 2011 Ark. App. 410

meritorious ground for reversal. Accordingly, we hold that the requirements of Arkansas Supreme Court Rule 4–3(k)(1) and *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), have been met and that the appeal has no merit. We grant counsel’s motion to withdraw and affirm the conviction.

Affirmed; motion granted.

GLOVER and HOOFFMAN, JJ., agree.