

**ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALS**DIVISION III  
No. CV-14-566EARNEST STEAN DANIEL  
APPELLANT

V.

WAL-MART STORES, INC., AND  
CLAIMS MANAGEMENT, INC.  
APPELLEES

Opinion Delivered December 3, 2014

APPEAL FROM THE ARKANSAS  
WORKERS' COMPENSATION  
COMMISSION  
[NO. G208821]

AFFIRMED

**BRANDON J. HARRISON, Judge**

Earnest Daniel appeals the Arkansas Workers' Compensation Commission's decision that she did not sufficiently prove that she sustained a compensable back injury. She essentially argues that the Commission erred in finding her not credible and in disregarding medical evidence that she says documents a workplace injury. We affirm.

The arguments advanced by Daniel challenge the sufficiency of the evidence. These arguments are based entirely on matters of weight and credibility, which rest solely with the Commission. *See St. Edward Mercy Med. Ctr. v. Warnock*, 2013 Ark. App. 518, 429 S.W.3d 348. Because the only substantial question involved in the appeal is the sufficiency of the evidence, and because the Commission's opinion adequately explains the decision, we affirm by memorandum opinion pursuant to sections (a) and (b) of our per curiam *In re Memorandum Opinions*, 16 Ark. App. 301, 700 S.W.2d 63 (1985).

Affirmed.

HIXSON and WOOD, JJ., agree.

*Orr Willhite, PLC*, by: *M. Scott Willhite*, for appellant.

*Roberts Law Firm, P.A.*, by: *Susan M. Fowler* and *Emily A. Neal*, for appellees.