

ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALSDIVISION I
No. CR-14-789

MARCUS HARRIS

APPELLANT

V.

STATE OF ARKANSAS

APPELLEE

Opinion Delivered June 17, 2015

APPEAL FROM THE JEFFERSON
COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT
[NO. CR-2010-10-1]HONORABLE BERLIN C. JONES,
JUDGESUPPLEMENTAL ADDENDUM
ORDERED**M. MICHAEL KINARD, Judge**

Marcus Harris appeals from the revocation of his previously ordered probation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and Arkansas Supreme Court Rule 4-3(k), appellant's attorney has filed a motion to be relieved as counsel, stating that there is no merit to the appeal. The motion is accompanied by an abstract and addendum of the proceedings below and a brief in which counsel asserts that there is nothing in the record that would support an appeal. The clerk of this court mailed copies of counsel's motion and brief to each of appellant's last three known addresses, notifying him of his right to file a pro se statement of points for reversal within thirty days. Each time, the package was returned "not deliverable" or "unclaimed." Appellant has filed no statement.

Because the addendum is incomplete, we must first order that it be supplemented before we can decide the case. Arkansas Supreme Court Rule 4-2(a)(8) requires that an

appellant's brief contain an addendum that includes true and legible photocopies of non-transcript documents in the record that are essential for the appellate court to confirm its jurisdiction, to understand the case, and to decide the issues on appeal. For obvious reasons, the rule specifically requires that the addendum contain the judgment from which the appeal is taken. Here, however, appellant's addendum does not include the judgment and sentencing order appealed from. Under these circumstances, we direct counsel for appellant to file within seven days a supplemental addendum correcting this omission. *See* Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 4-2(b)(4). We strongly encourage counsel, prior to filing the supplement, to review our rules as well as the abstract and addendum to ensure that no additional deficiencies are present. After the supplement is filed, the case will be resubmitted for decision.

Supplemental addendum ordered.

HARRISON and GLOVER, JJ., agree.

Gary W. Potts, for appellant.

No response.