

ARKANSAS COURT OF APPEALSDIVISION III
No. CR-14-983

RICHARD SPRINGER

APPELLANT

V.

STATE OF ARKANSAS

APPELLEE

Opinion Delivered June 17, 2015

APPEAL FROM THE PULASKI
COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT,
FOURTH DIVISION
[NO. CR-2013-1779]HONORABLE HERBERT WRIGHT,
JUDGESUPPLEMENTAL ABSTRACT AND
ADDENDUM ORDERED**M. MICHAEL KINARD, Judge**

Richard Springer appeals from his conviction at a jury trial of sexual indecency with a child, for which he was sentenced to six months' imprisonment and fined \$10,000. He contends that the trial court erred in denying his motion for directed verdict of acquittal and in allowing the State to amend the criminal information. Because appellant's abstract and addendum are deficient, we must order that he first provide us with a supplemental abstract and addendum before we decide the appeal.

Arkansas Supreme Court Rule 4-2(a)(5)(A) requires an appellant to abstract all material information found in the transcript. Material information includes objections, arguments, colloquies, and rulings relevant to the issues presented on appeal. *Id.* Here, although appellant challenges the sufficiency of the evidence on appeal, he has failed to abstract his motion for directed verdict made at trial, the prosecutor's response, and the trial court's ruling

on the motion. Rule 4-2(a)(8) requires that an appellant file an addendum that includes true and correct copies of the non-transcript documents in the record that are essential for the appellate court to confirm its jurisdiction, to understand the case, and to decide the issues on appeal. According to the rule, the addendum must include certain items, including jury-verdict forms in a case where there was a jury trial. Ark. Sup. Ct. R. 4-2(a)(8)(A)(i). Here, appellant has failed to include the jury-verdict form in his addendum.

Pursuant to Arkansas Supreme Court Rule 4-2(b)(4), appellant's counsel is directed to file a supplemental abstract and addendum within seven days to correct the omissions. We strongly encourage counsel, prior to filing the supplement, to review our rules as well as the abstract and addendum to ensure that no additional deficiencies are present.

Supplemental abstract and addendum ordered.

WHITEAKER and HOOFFMAN, JJ., agree.

John W. Walker, P.A., by: *Lawrence Anthony Walker*, for appellant.

Leslie Rutledge, Att'y Gen., by: *Jake H. Jones*, Ass't Att'y Gen., for appellee.