

ARKANSAS SUPREME COURT

No. 06-334

NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

Opinion Delivered June 22, 2006

RICHARD WILLIAMS
Appellant

PRO SE MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION OF DISMISSAL
OF APPEAL [CIRCUIT COURT OF
JEFFERSON COUNTY, CV 2005-893-5,
HON. ROBERT H. WYATT, JR.,
JUDGE]

v.

LARRY NORRIS, DIRECTOR,
ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTION
Appellee

MOTION DENIED

PER CURIAM

In 1998, appellant Richard Williams entered a plea of guilty to three counts of rape and one count of kidnapping. An aggregate term of 372 months' imprisonment was imposed. The court also suspended imposition of an additional sentence of nine years' imprisonment on the kidnapping charges.

In 2005, appellant, an inmate of the Arkansas Department of Correction, filed in the county in which he was incarcerated a *pro se* petition for writ of *habeas corpus*. He argued that the sentences imposed for rape were illegal and sought to modify the sentence. The petition was denied with prejudice. Subsequently, appellant filed an amended petition for writ of *habeas corpus*, a petition for reconsideration, and a second amended petition for writ of *habeas corpus*. Both amended petitions and the petition for reconsideration were denied. Appellant, proceeding *pro se*, appealed to this court. We dismissed the appeal on the ground that appellant failed to make the requisite showing that the judgment of conviction was invalid on its face or that the circuit court

lacked jurisdiction. *Williams v. Norris*, 06-334 (Ark. May 25, 2006) (*per curiam*). Now before us is appellant's motion for reconsideration of the dismissal of the appeal.

Appellant asks that the dismissal be reconsidered because he tendered the appellant's brief with the motion for reconsideration. Inasmuch as the appeal was dismissed because there was no merit to the petition for writ of *habeas corpus* and related pleadings and not because the brief had not been tendered, the motion is denied.

Motion denied.