ARKANSAS SUPREME COURT

No. 09-66

Opinion Delivered

March 19, 2009

TOMMY HALL
Petitioner

PRO SE MOTION FOR PHOTOCOPY OF CERTIFIED DOCUMENTS

[CIRCUIT COURT OF MILLER

COUNTY, CV 2004-2851

v.

HON. JIM HUDSON, CIRCUIT JUDGE Respondent

MOTION DENIED.

PER CURIAM

On January 26, 2009, petitioner Tommy Hall filed a pro se petition for writ of mandamus in this court. In the petition, he contended that the Honorable Jim Hudson, Circuit Judge, had failed to act in a timely manner on a motion seeking an amended judgment in a civil matter. On January 29, 2009, respondent Hudson provided this court with a copy of a letter order entered January 15, 2009, that disposed of petitioner's request that the judgment be amended. As the court had acted in the matter, the petition for writ of mandamus was declared moot. *Hall v. Hudson*, 09-66 (Ark. Feb. 12, 2009) (per curiam). Now before us is petitioner Hall's motion asking that this court provide him with a copy of the certified documents that made up the record in the mandamus proceeding so that he may perfect an appeal from the January 15, 2009, order.

¹The judgment was entered February 22, 2007, in a forfeiture action, *State v. Two Thousand Seven Hundred Fifteen Dollars (\$2,715.00) in U. S. Currency and Tommy Hall*, CV 2004-285, Circuit Court of Miller County. The record in this mandamus action contains two pro se motions to amend the judgment, the first filed October 17, 2008, and the second filed November 12, 2008.

The motion is denied. If a petitioner elects to proceed with an appeal from a circuit court order, he or she is responsible for timely filing a notice of appeal and obtaining from the circuit clerk the certified record necessary to perfect the appeal. There is no provision in the prevailing rules of procedure for this court to take documents from a record lodged in this court and permit those documents to form the record for a separate appeal.

Motion denied.