## **ARKANSAS SUPREME COURT**

No. CR 07-259

Opinion Delivered April 17, 2008

PRO SE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF DISMISSAL OF APPEAL [CIRCUIT COURT OF CRAWFORD COUNTY, CR 2005-42, HON. MICHAEL MEDLOCK, JUDGE]

MOTION DENIED.

## PER CURIAM

Now before us is appellants's pro se motion for reconsideration of the dismissal of the appeal that this court handed down on February 7, 2008. *Vidal v. State*, CR 07-259 (Ark. Feb. 7, 2008) (per curiam).

Appellant is represented by counsel in the instant appeal but has filed the motion for reconsideration before us as a pro se litigant. Having accepted representation by counsel, appellant is not also entitled to pursue his own motions for relief. *Hamilton v. State*, 348 Ark. 532, 74 S.W.3d 615 (2002); *Franklin v. State*, 327 Ark. 537, 939 S.W.2d 836 (1997) (per curiam). As we do not allow an appellant to compete with his attorney to be heard, *Franklin, supra*, we need not consider appellant's pro se motion for reconsideration.

Motion denied.

v.

STATE OF ARKANSAS Appellee

HENRY SIMON VIDAL

Appellant