

CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

IAN M. KING,

Defendant and Appellant.

B210909

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. BA323140)

ORDER MODIFYING OPINION
AND DENYING PETITION FOR
REHEARING

[NO CHANGE IN JUDGMENT]

THE COURT:

It is ordered that the opinion filed on April 16, 2010, be modified as follows:

On page 29, in the first sentence of the last paragraph in the main text (which begins “First, Nicole’s testimony makes it abundantly clear . . .”), the words “at trial” shall be inserted between the words “testimony” and “makes.” The first sentence of the last paragraph starting on page 29 (and continuing on page 30) shall then read in its entirety:

First, Nicole’s testimony at trial makes it abundantly clear that throughout the time she was detained, King took full advantage of his position of authority, using it as nothing less than a veiled threat.

On page 11, in the third sentence of the first full paragraph (beginning “Witnesses at trial testified” and ending “was 10 to 12 minutes.”) the number “10” shall be replaced with the number “7.” The resulting sentence shall then read as follows:

Witnesses at trial testified that the fastest estimated travel time between those two LAUSD campuses—12 miles apart from one another—was 7 to 12 minutes.

There is no change in the judgment.

Appellant King’s Petition for Rehearing is DENIED.

CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION.

JOHNSON, J.

ROTHSCHILD, Acting P. J.

CHANEY, J.